

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: FELDMAN, et al.  
Serial No.: 09/537,086  
Filing Date: March 29, 2000  
For: SYSTEMS AND METHODS  
FOR SERVERLESS  
SOFTWARE LICENSING

Group Art Unit: 3621  
Examiner: C. Sherr

Address to:  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, DC 20231

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on

Date: 2/9/03

Reg. No. 45,027

Signature:   
Paul A. Qualey

**RESPONSE**

Sir:

This paper addresses the Office Action mailed on October 4, 2002. The statutory period for response having been extended until February 4, 2003 by payment of the requisite fee for a one month extension.

Claims 1 to 97 are pending in the present application. Claims 1 to 97 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,937,863 to Robert et al. (hereinafter Robert) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,189,146 to Misra et al. (hereinafter Misra). These rejections are respectfully traversed by the following remarks.

**Remarks**

As to claims 1 to 97, in order for a claim to be rejected for obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the prior art must teach or suggest each element of the claim and must suggest combining the elements in the manner contemplated by the claim. *See, e.g., Northern Telecom, Inc. v. Datapoint Corp.*, 908 F.2d 931, 934 (Fed. Cir. 1990); *In re Bond*, 910 F.2d